



December 22, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY

Commission Secretary
Idaho Public Utilities Commission
11331 W. Chinden Blvd
Building 8 Suite 201A
Boise, ID 83714

**RE: 2022 ECAM INVESTIGATIVE REPORT IN CASE NO. PAC-E-23-09
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
REQUESTING APPROVAL OF \$32.5 MILLION ECAM DEFERRAL**

Attention: Commission Secretary

Pursuant to Order No. 35801 in the above referenced matter Rocky Mountain Power hereby respectfully submits its 2022 Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism (ECAM) Confidential Investigative Report to the Idaho Public Utilities Commission. Included with this filing is the attorney's certificate claim of confidentiality relating to the 2022 ECAM Investigative Report, two confidential exhibits, and confidential workpapers.

Informal inquiries may be directed to Mark Alder, Idaho Regulatory Manager at (801) 220-2313.

Very truly yours,

Joelle Steward
Senior Vice President, Regulation and Customer & Community Solutions

Enclosures

CC: Terri Carlock
TJ Budge (C)
Brian Collins (C)
Greg Meyer (C)
Eric Olsen
Mike Veile (C)

Joe Dallas (ISB #10330)
825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000
Portland, OR 97232
Telephone No. (360) 560-1937
Email: joseph.dallas@pacificorp.com

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)	
)	
)	CASE NO. PAC-E-23-09
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	
OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER)	ATTORNEY’S CERTIFICATE
REQUESTING APPROVAL OF \$32.5)	CLAIM OF CONFIDENTIALITY
MILLION ECAM DEFERRAL)	RELATING TO THE 2022 ECAM
)	INVESTIGATIVE REPORT
)	
)	
)	
)	

I, Joe Dallas, represent Rocky Mountain Power in the above captioned matter. I am an attorney for Rocky Mountain Power.


I make this certification and claim of confidentiality regarding the response to the attached Idaho Public Utilities Commission Staff discovery request pursuant to IDAPA 31.01.01 because Rocky Mountain Power, through its response, is disclosing certain information that is Confidential and/or constitutes Trade Secrets as defined by Idaho Code Section 74-101, et seq. and 48-801 and protected under IDAPA 31.01.01.067 and 31.01.01.233. Specifically, the contracted coal amounts contain Company proprietary information that could be used to its commercial disadvantage.

Rocky Mountain Power herein asserts that the aforementioned responses contain confidential in that the information contains Company proprietary information.

I am of the opinion that this information is “Confidential,” as defined by Idaho Code Section 74-101, et seq. and 48-801, and should therefore be protected from public inspection, examination and copying, and should be utilized only in accordance with the terms of the Protective Agreement in this proceeding.

DATED this 22nd day of December, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

By  _____

Joe Dallas
Senior Attorney
Rocky Mountain Power



Rocky Mountain Power | Pacific Power

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S
2022 ENERGY COST ADJUSTMENT
MECHANISM CONFIDENTIAL
INVESTIGATIVE REPORT**

Case No. PAC-E-23-09 / 2022 ECAM / IPUC Order No. 35801

December 2023

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
List of Tables	2
List of Exhibits.....	2
1.0 Executive Summary	3
2.0 Background.....	3
3.0 2022 ECAM Summary	5
3.1 War in Ukraine.....	5
3.2 Weather Events	7
3.3 Force Majeure Events	8
4.0 Forecast Base Versus Actual Generation.....	9
5.0 Forecast Method and Optimization Models.....	12
6.0 PacifiCorp’s Coal Acquisition Process.....	13
7.0 Changes in Coal Market Conditions.....	14
8.0 Coal Supply Agreements	17
8.1 Utah Plants	18
8.2 Wyoming Plants.....	19
8.3 Joint-Owned Plants – Partner Operated	22
9.0 Conclusion	23

List of Tables

Name	Location
Table 3.1 – 2022 ECAM Deferral	Page 5
Confidential Table 3.2 – Natural Gas Generation Costs – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 6
Confidential Table 3.3 – Power Pricing – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 6
Confidential Table 3.4 – Coal Generation Costs – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 6
Table 3.5 – Hydro Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 8
Confidential Table 3.6 – Coal Expense – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 8
Table 4.1 – Coal Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 10
Table 4.2 – Coal Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2020-2022	Page 11
Table 4.3 – Gas Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022	Page 11
Table 4.4 – Natural Gas Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2020-2022	Page 12
Confidential Table 7.1 – Force Majeure Claims in 2022	Page 16
Confidential Table 7.2 – 2022 Utah Plants Coal Received and Consumed	Page 16
Table 7.3 – 2022 Utah Plants Coal Inventory	Page 17
Table 8.1 – Existing, Amended, and New CSAs in 2022	Page 18
Table 8.2 – 2022 Jim Bridger Coal Inventory	Page 20
Confidential Table 8.3 – 2022 Jim Bridger Coal Supply (PacifiCorp Share)	Page 21

List of Exhibits

Name
Confidential Exhibit No. 1 – 2022 Thermal Outage Summary
Confidential Exhibit No. 2 – Force Majeure Claims

1.0 Executive Summary

As directed by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (the “Commission”), Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp (the “Company”) hereby submits its 2022 Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism (“ECAM”) investigative report (“Investigative Report”) in accordance with Order No. 35801 in Case No. PAC-E-23-09 (“2022 ECAM”). The Investigative Report focuses on the issues related to lower coal generation and coal supplies, the deployment of the Company’s coal fleet during calendar year 2022, the impacts on net power costs (“NPC”) and the Company’s management of these issues during calendar year 2022. The difference between actual coal generation in the 2022 ECAM and the coal generation in the forecast base period included in the 2021 general rate case (“2021 GRC”)¹ was five percent. This variance was reasonable given the inherent difficulty of forecasting variables that are dependent on weather and market conditions. This was particularly true in calendar year 2022 where the war in Ukraine and extreme weather events created unprecedented market conditions.

This Investigative Report begins with a background of the 2022 ECAM followed by a summary of the 2022 ECAM components and the coal generation and deployment circumstances of calendar year 2022 including the war in Ukraine, extreme weather and force majeure events from the Company’s coal suppliers. Also provided is a summary of the Company’s optimization models followed by a focus on the Company’s coal acquisition process, coal market conditions in calendar year 2022 and the Company’s coal supply agreements (“CSA”) relevant to the 2022 ECAM.

2.0 Background

On March 30, 2023, the Company, under Case No. PAC-E-23-09, applied for Commission authorization to adjust its rates under the 2022 ECAM and requested approval of approximately \$32.5 million in deferred costs for the period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022, with a 2.3 percent overall increase to Electric Service No. 94, Energy Cost Adjustment (“Schedule 94”).²

Prior to the Company’s March 30, 2023, application, Commission Staff (“Staff”) conducted a review and audit of the 2022 ECAM involving 26 production requests, an on-site visit to the Company facilities in Salt Lake City, Utah to meet with representatives from the fuel resources department, and an on-site visit to Portland, Oregon to meet with representatives from the Company’s NPC department. Based on their findings and review of the Company’s application,

¹ *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges in Idaho and Approval of Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Regulations*, Case No. PAC-E-21-07.

² *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power Requesting Approval of \$32.5 Million ECAM Deferral*, Case No. PAC-E-23-09, Application at 7 (March 30, 2023).

Staff submitted its comments on May 10, 2023, which recommended the Commission approve the Company's 2022 ECAM deferral balance along with the proposed Schedule 94 rate.

In addition, Staff recommended the Commission defer its decision on the prudence of the Company's 2022 NPC until an investigation was completed into the Company's ability to economically dispatch its coal plants in calendar year 2022. Staff requested the report to include details of the Company's forecasted load and its plan to meet this load requirement, a timeline of events leading to coal shortages and the inability to dispatch its coal plants, a list of issues that resulted in a significant increase in NPC, documentation of the Company's awareness of the shortfalls, alternative solutions considered, and the Company's proposed actions for the future to address these challenges effectively.

P4 Production, L.L.C. ("P4"), an affiliate of Bayer Corporation, also submitted comments noting concerns similar to Staff about the Company's coal generation levels in calendar year 2022. P4 requested a detailed discussion on the costs of short-term purchases in relation to the coal expense. In addition, P4 requested an explanation of the Company's ability to generate electricity from its coal units considering factors such as forced outages, scheduled maintenance, and operating constraints. The Company, through its discovery responses to both P4 and Staff, addressed in detail the forced and maintenance outages with a duration of longer than 72 hours and derates at 50 percent or more of net capacity.

On May 17, 2023, the Company submitted reply comments showing how it dispatched its coal generation units in calendar year 2022 in accordance with prudent utility practice, ensuring the maintenance of an adequate coal stockpile and consistent with least-cost economic dispatch principles. The Company's reply comments explained its coal acquisition process, its coal inventory levels at the Jim Bridger, Hunter and Huntington plants in calendar year 2022, and the process the Company followed to economically dispatch its coal generation units. The Company demonstrated that coal generation units were dispatched economically on a system-wide least-cost basis.

On May 31, 2023, the Commission issued Order No. 35801 approving the Company's \$32.5 million in deferred costs for the deferral period January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022, and approving a 2.3 percent increase to Schedule 94 with new rates effective June 1, 2023. The prudence determination of NPC in the 2022 ECAM was withheld until the Company submitted this Investigative Report before the end of the 2023 ECAM year.³ In particular, the Commission directed the Company to submit a report focusing on any issues related to coal generation and supplies, and the Company's management of those issues, that occurred in calendar year 2022.⁴

³ *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power Requesting Approval of \$32.5 Million ECAM Deferral*, Case No. PAC-E-23-09, Order No. 35801 (May 31, 2023).

⁴ *Id.* at 9.

3.0 2022 ECAM Summary

The Company’s 2022 ECAM Application was for the recovery of \$32.5 million as shown in Table 3.1 below:

Table 3.1 – 2022 ECAM Deferral

Calendar Year 2022 ECAM Deferral	
NPC Differential	\$ 35,322,826
EITF 04-6 Adjustment	190,656
LCAR	(1,578,588)
Total Deferral Before Sharing	\$ 33,934,894
Sharing Band	90%
Customer Responsibility	\$ 30,541,405
Production Tax Credits	\$ 1,388,020
REP QF Adjustment	634,305
Wind Liquidated Damages	(295,039)
REC Deferral	(130,679)
Interest on Deferral	326,544
Annual Deferral (Jan - Dec 2022)	\$ 32,464,556

The recovery amount largely stemmed from the \$35.3 million difference between the actual NPC (“Actual NPC”) and the NPC collected from Idaho customers (“Base NPC”) through rates set in the 2021 GRC. Three main drivers were responsible for the differential between Base NPC and Actual NPC; (1) worldwide natural gas supply and demand pressure that significantly impacted and increased power prices, as further explained in detail below; (2) extreme weather events that caused temporary increases in power and natural gas market prices; and (3) coal supply constraints due to force majeure claims. Despite facing numerous hurdles in calendar year 2022, further elaborated below, the Company experienced only a five percent discrepancy between the projected and actual coal generation. This variance is within the anticipated range as detailed in Section 4.0 of this Investigative Report.

3.1 War in Ukraine

During calendar year 2022, the conflict between Russia and the Ukraine resulted in decreased availability of natural gas in Europe, which was previously sourced from Russian imports. With decreased European supply, a measure of European demand turned to United States domestic supply to fill the gap. This resulted in increased competition over domestic supply, which drove regional natural gas fuel prices upwards due to domestic production being unable to keep pace with the increased demand. This increase in natural gas fuel prices correspondingly increased regional natural gas market prices and regional power market prices. The average cost of natural gas generation during the 2022 ECAM deferral period increased 66 percent from \$26.95 per

megawatt-hour (“MWh”) in Base NPC to \$44.61/MWh in Actual NPC as shown in Confidential Table 3.2 below:

Confidential Table 3.2 – Natural Gas Generation Costs – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base \$/MWh	Actual \$/MWh	Variance	Percent
Chehalis				
Currant Creek				
Gadsby				
Hermiston				
Lake Side 1				
Lake Side 2				
Naughton - Gas				
Total Gas	\$ 26.95	\$ 44.61	\$ 17.66	66%

Because the Company operates its system on a least-cost economic dispatch model, even with higher natural gas prices throughout calendar year 2022, the Company’s owned gas-generating plants were still, on average, significantly more economical than market power purchases during calendar year 2022, as shown in Confidential Table 3.3 below:

Confidential Table 3.3 – Power Pricing – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Type	Base \$/MWh	Actual \$/MWh	Variance	Percent
Long-term Firm				
Qualifying Facilities				
Short-term/Balancing				
Total Purchases	\$ 46.19	\$ 66.13	\$ 19.93	43%

During calendar year 2022, coal generation costs increased only moderately in comparison to natural gas and power pricing with a slight increase of two percent as shown in Confidential Table 3.4 below:

Confidential Table 3.4 – Coal Generation Costs – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base \$/MWh	Actual \$/MWh	Variance	Percent
Colstrip				
Craig				
Dave Johnston				
Hayden				
Hunter				
Huntington				
Jim Bridger				
Naughton				
Wyodak				
Total Coal	\$ 20.08	\$ 20.47	\$ 0.39	2%

Actual 2022 coal generation costs, on a \$/MWh basis, was within 2 percent of the forecasted cost of coal. As shown in Sections 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0 of this Investigative Report, the Company acted prudently by securing coal in advance of 2022 and utilized its coal fleet as prudently as possible during 2022 while ensuring reliability, despite force majeure events from coal suppliers in Utah.

3.2 Weather Events

In addition to the war in the Ukraine creating unique market conditions, several extreme and unforeseeable weather events occurred during the 2022 ECAM deferral period, all with a collective impact on Actual NPC throughout the year. Multiple heat waves across the Company's service territories throughout July 2022, August 2022 and September 2022 had a significant effect on market power prices leading to an increase in Actual NPC.⁵ The NPC differential for those months alone amounted to \$16.5 million and is almost half of the entire \$35.3 million NPC variance in the 2022 ECAM.

In their comments filed on May 10, 2023, P4 suggests that during the extreme weather events "one would expect an increase in coal generation from historic levels since customer demand would be higher during such events." As P4 notes, the Company often experiences a corresponding increase in demand and load on its system during extreme weather events. To illustrate, actual Company system load in 2022 was 3,735,471 MWh, or 6 percent, above forecasted load. 42% of that increase (1,584,546 MWh), occurred in July, August, and September, when there were multiple heat waves across the Company's service area. However, because PacifiCorp's customer load demand peaks during the summer months of July, August, and September the Company's own coal and gas generating plants were already operating near peak capacity during much of the summer, which required the Company to purchase additional power to meet customers' needs during the extreme weather events in the Company's service territory.

Ongoing drought in the Western United States, dating back to the summer of 2020, has continued to impact Actual NPC through reduced availability of the Company's hydro resources. In calendar year 2022, actual generation from hydro resources was 1,505,231 MWh or 34 percent lower than forecasted base generation as shown in Table 3.5 below:

⁵ PacifiCorp operates on a least-cost basis and does not rely on a weather-normalized forecast such as the one prepared to set Base NPC in the 2021 GRC. Each hour, day, or season presents unique conditions that differ from a weather-normalized forecast. These differences arise due to changes in market conditions, including market prices, load demand, hydroelectric generation, wind generation and solar generation. Consequently, the variance between forecast and actual conditions largely accounts for the difference between Base NPC and Actual NPC. In the 2021 GRC, the calendar year 2021 load forecast was an input to determine the Base NPC. This load forecast was a weather-normalized projection created in the spring of 2020 but was only one of many load forecasts across time that the Company has used to forecast the overall system generation as well as coal plant generation in order to acquire fuel in a manner that benefits customers.

Table 3.5 – Hydro Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base MWh	Actual MWh	Variance	Percent
West Hydro	4,137,648	2,745,774	(1,391,874)	(34%)
East Hydro	303,342	189,984	(113,358)	(37%)
Total Hydro	4,440,989	2,935,758	(1,505,231)	(34%)

The estimated impact to the NPC differential in the 2022 ECAM due to drought is \$8.9 million. A historic winter cyclone event in December 2022 occurred across the majority of the United States, impacting both market power prices and natural gas prices, along with an increase in demand. Natural gas prices across the Company's delivery points drastically increased. At the Opal natural gas trading hub, average market prices were 424 percent higher in December 2022 as compared to December 2021, while market prices at the Mid-Columbia and Four Corners trading hubs were, on average, 406 percent higher across all load hours. The NPC differential in December alone is \$6.7 million, or 19 percent, of the NPC variance in the 2022 ECAM.

Overall, total-company coal fuel expense decreased by \$18.8 million in the 2022 ECAM as shown in Confidential Table 3.6 primarily because coal generation volume decreased:

Confidential Table 3.6 – Coal Expense – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base Dollars	Actual Dollars	Variance	Percent
Colstrip				
Craig				
Dave Johnston				
Hayden				
Hunter				
Huntington				
Jim Bridger				
Naughton				
Wyodak				
Total Coal	\$ 599,876,421	\$ 581,031,513	\$ (18,844,907)	(3%)

3.3 Force Majeure Events

Toward the end of 2022, due to conditions outside of the Company's control, coal supply issues causing delivery shortages began to impact the dispatch at Utah's Hunter and Huntington coal-generating plants. The operating mines in Utah's Book Cliffs and Wasatch Plateau coal fields experienced production difficulties due to a variety of geological, logistical, and financial challenges. Additionally, there was a mine fire at American Consolidated Natural Resources' Lila Canyon mine in September 2022. In recent years, the Lila Canyon mine has accounted for more than 25 percent of Utah's coal production. Several of the Company's coal suppliers issued force majeure notices in 2022 per the contract terms, which limited deliveries. Because of the coal supply constraints identified above, the Company had to take action to maintain the minimum stockpile reliability target.

Once informed of the force majeure claims, the Company proactively took actions to address coal supply constraints for its Utah plants during calendar year 2022, as further explained in Section 8.1 of this Investigative Report. Furthermore, based upon industry standard practice regarding the dispatch of fuel-limited resources, such as hydro plants, the Company calculated the dispatch price for the fuel-limited Hunter and Huntington units to maintain minimum coal stockpile reliability targets and secure availability for the benefit of customers during critical periods. The dispatch price for each of these units was calculated, to ensure an adequate coal stockpile, at \$50-\$70/MWh at Hunter in September 2022 and later in November 2022 at Huntington. By the end of 2022, the price was recalculated to approximately \$90/MWh. The higher dispatch prices reflected the true cost of dispatching these resources with limited fuel supply and ensured that the Company's optimization models did not reduce coal stockpiles at Hunter and Huntington to unacceptable levels. It is important to note that despite the Hunter and Huntington dispatch prices being raised, Hunter and Huntington were not idled; they continued to operate to serve customers.

The Company's decision to calculate the dispatch price based on the economics of fuel-limited resources reflects its commitment to upholding reliability standards, and ensuring the availability of coal units when they are most needed. Although this calculation rendered the Hunter and Huntington plants less economically favorable to dispatch within the Company's operational optimization models in late 2022, it was necessary to maintain a prudent coal stockpile level in the aftermath of the unprecedented force majeure claims made by two coal suppliers, and to ensure reliability during high-demand periods.

4.0 Forecast Base Versus Actual Generation

As shown in Table 4.1 below, actual coal generation in the 2022 ECAM decreased by 1,484,137 MWh on a total-company basis, or five percent compared to the forecast base period (from the 2021 GRC). This five percent variance between forecast and actual is well within the expected range given that the periods compared are one year apart and the difficulty in forecasting with increasing variable renewable resources on the Company's system.

Table 4.1 – Coal Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base MWh	Actual MWh	Variance	Percent
Colstrip	965,999	1,080,477	114,478	12%
Craig	997,267	1,066,740	69,473	7%
Dave Johnston	4,974,304	3,581,919	(1,392,385)	(28%)
Hayden	442,366	523,072	80,706	18%
Hunter	6,057,136	5,865,760	(191,376)	(3%)
Huntington	4,598,934	5,673,115	1,074,181	23%
Jim Bridger	7,656,465	7,376,117	(280,348)	(4%)
Naughton	2,511,449	1,879,970	(631,479)	(25%)
Wyodak	1,671,199	1,343,811	(327,388)	(20%)
Total Coal	29,875,118	28,390,981	(1,484,137)	(5%)

The largest decrease of 1,392,385 MWh at Dave Johnston plant (28 percent) is primarily due to the planned outage for the Dave Johnston Unit 4 boiler overhaul. Dave Johnston Unit 4 is the largest unit at the Dave Johnston plant with a capacity of 330 megawatts (“MW”) versus 220 MW at Dave Johnston Unit 3, 106 MW at Dave Johnston Unit 2 and 99 MW at Dave Johnston Unit 1. The generation in Base NPC is modeled using a four-year overhaul average. Typically, each of the four units at the Dave Johnston plant undergoes a major overhaul every four years. Therefore, in years when the largest unit – Dave Johnston Unit 4 – is overhauled, such as in calendar year 2022, generation would be lower than the modeled average. Dave Johnston Unit 4 also experienced a number of forced outages during 2022 due to a variety of boiler tube leaks. Naughton plant generation was down 631,479 MWh or 25 percent, compared to the base forecast. Naughton Unit 2 experienced an unusually long outage period primarily due to generator and exciter problems. A 2022 Thermal Outage Summary is attached to this Investigative Report as Confidential Exhibit No. 1. Huntington generated 23 percent more than the base forecast or 1,074,181 MWh, despite the coal supply issues facing the Utah plants during the fourth quarter of 2022.

Coal generation variances in prior ECAMs were significantly larger than the 2022 ECAM variance of five percent. In the 2020 ECAM⁶, actual coal generation decreased by 8,465,194 MWh on a total-company basis, or 22 percent compared to the forecast base period (calendar year 2016). In the 2021 ECAM⁷ actual coal generation decreased by 7,509,751 MWh on a total-company basis, or 19 percent compared to the forecast base period as shown below in Table 4.2:

⁶ *In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power’s Application Requesting Approval of \$16.1 Million Net Power Cost Deferral (ECAM)*, Case No. PAC-E-21-09. Base NPC for the 2020 ECAM were based on 2015 annual results of operations report and established *In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power to Update the Base Net Power Costs and Implement a Rate Stability Plan*, Case No. PAC-E-16-12, Application at 5.

⁷ *In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power’s Application Requesting Approval of \$28.4 Million ECAM Deferral*, Case No. PAC-E-22-05. Base NPC for the 2021 ECAM were based on 2015 annual results of operations report and established *In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power to Update the Base Net Power Costs and Implement a Rate Stability Plan*, Case No. PAC-E-16-12, Application at 5.

Table 4.2 – Coal Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2020-2022

Year	Base MWh	Actual MWh	Variance	Percent
2020 ECAM	39,100,008	30,634,813	(8,465,194)	(22%)
2021 ECAM	39,100,008	31,590,257	(7,509,751)	(19%)
2022 ECAM	29,875,118	28,390,981	(1,484,137)	(5%)

The large variances in the 2020 ECAM and the 2021 ECAM are also within the expected range and reflect the fact that the periods being compared are four to five years apart as well as the fact that Cholla Unit 4 was retired and Naughton Unit 3 was converted to gas after the 2016 forecast base period.

As shown in Table 4.3 below, natural gas generation in the 2022 ECAM increased by 5,198,076 MWh on a total-company basis, or 61 percent compared to the forecast base period:

Table 4.3 – Gas Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2022

Plant	Base MWh	Actual MWh	Variance	Percent
Chehalis	2,182,201	2,171,994	(10,207)	(0%)
Currant Creek	993,561	2,805,979	1,812,418	182%
Gadsby	123,088	118,821	(4,267)	(3%)
Hermiston	1,049,262	1,433,878	384,616	37%
Lake Side 1	1,487,154	3,047,188	1,560,034	105%
Lake Side 2	2,143,135	3,531,485	1,388,350	65%
Naughton - Gas	509,100	576,231	67,131	13%
Total Gas	8,487,500	13,685,576	5,198,076	61%

When compared to prior ECAMs, the natural gas generation variance in the 2022 ECAM was significantly larger. The 2020 ECAM actual natural gas generation decreased by 307,312 MWh on a total-company basis, or two percent compared to the forecast base period. The 2021 ECAM natural gas generation increased by 962,582 MWh on a total-company basis, or eight percent compared to the forecast base period as shown below in Table 4.4. These variances are also within the expected ranges.

Table 4.4 below also shows that actual natural gas generation from 2020 to 2022 increased by 1,643,774 MWh or about 14 percent. Given the coal supply limitations the Company endured in calendar year 2022, along with the extreme weather events, ongoing drought, and increased load, it would be expected that gas generation would increase in actual system operations. This is especially true when natural gas generation is still a more economical resource compared to market purchases, on average.

Table 4.4 – Natural Gas Generation – Forecast Base to Actual 2020-2022

Year	Base MWh	Actual MWh	Variance	Percent
2020 ECAM	12,349,114	12,041,802	(307,312)	(2%)
2021 ECAM	12,349,114	13,311,696	962,582	8%
2022 ECAM	8,487,500	13,685,576	5,198,076	61%

5.0 Forecast Method and Optimization Models

The Base NPC from the 2021 GRC set the forecast for calendar year 2022 and the 2022 ECAM's NPC differential is the difference between that 2021 Base NPC and the 2022 Actual NPC. In calendar year 2022:

1. Wholesale electricity market prices were approximately 82 percent higher than the wholesale electricity market prices assumed in the 2021 Base NPC.
2. Natural gas market prices were approximately 151 percent higher than the natural gas market prices assumed in the 2021 Base NPC.
3. Hydroelectric generation (water availability) was approximately 34 percent lower than the hydroelectric generation assumed in the 2021 Base NPC.

NPC are sensitive to underlying commodity prices outside of the Company's control, and these commodity prices are wholesale electricity market prices, natural gas market prices and coal fuel prices. Regional wholesale electricity market prices are driven by regional natural gas market prices and calendar year 2022 natural gas market prices saw an unexpected increase due to various regional and national events such as the conflict in the Ukraine. Furthermore, unanticipated drought conditions in the Pacific Northwest decreased expected hydroelectric generation which diminished local and regional energy supply. Coal fuel is discussed in detail in Sections 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0 of this Investigative Report.

Additionally, global supply chain constraints delayed production and transportation of key components and equipment necessary for renewable resource construction across the nation. In the planning arena, at the regional level, renewable resource construction/acquisition is assumed to partially offset the impact of thermal plant retirements on an energy basis. In the short term, while the construction of these renewable resources are delayed, the thermal plant retirements are, however, proceeding as scheduled. The resulting energy shortfall decreases supply without any associated decrease in demand (load). Consequently, this triggers an incremental energy price rise across the competitive regional wholesale electricity markets which is additive to the exacerbation caused by natural gas market price increases.

PacifiCorp relies on a least-cost optimization model to ensure the cost-effective fulfillment of its system obligations. This optimization model takes into consideration various factors such as load resource balance, generator characteristics, system obligations, fuel supply, and transmission limits to determine the most efficient unit dispatch schedule. Due to expected variations between input forecasts and actual real-time operating conditions, market traders use the modeled results as a guide when making decisions on how to best economically serve the system obligations. This approach enables PacifiCorp to economically meet its obligations through coal generation, other resources, or market purchases.

Regarding the economic dispatch of coal units in calendar year 2022, PacifiCorp's least-cost optimization model and the California Independent System Operator's Western Energy Imbalance Market optimization model both accounted for the challenges related to coal supply. 2022 witnessed historically low coal inventories and surging natural gas prices, necessitating additional purchases of coal to meet immediate consumption needs and replenish depleted inventories.

6.0 PacifiCorp's Coal Acquisition Process

PacifiCorp's goal in acquiring fuel supply for the coal generating plants is to secure the least-cost and least-risk fuel for customers. To achieve this, the Company follows a comprehensive fuel supply planning process. It begins with estimating the annual and future generation forecast for each coal plant, considering many factors including historical usage patterns, the Company's sales and load forecasts, coal, power, and gas market price forecasts, changes in available generation throughout the Company's system and neighboring areas, operating lives of coal plants and other generating plants, and operational and regulatory reliability requirements. Subsequently, the Company then develops fuel volume, pricing, and sourcing assumptions, as well as transportation costs. If applicable, operating and capital costs for the plant are considered. In cases where a coal generating plant is supplied by a dedicated, jointly-owned mine, PacifiCorp collaborates with other owners to develop a mine plan to support the long-term fueling forecast. All costs from all sources are combined and evaluated to establish a fueling plan that is least-cost and least-risk.

The Company negotiates with third-party suppliers to secure fuel contracts to meet its generation forecasts in a manner that is least-cost and least-risk. PacifiCorp's process for developing and negotiating these contracts considers a range of important factors, including contract term, price, volume, supplier credit worthiness, plant location or coal region, coal supply options, coal transportation options, and coal quality. It is important to note that coal contracts can vary in length and are often renewed or replaced on a rolling basis. The forecasts used for one contract may differ from those used for another contract executed on a different date. Furthermore, subsequent contracts are often negotiated during different market conditions, given the ever-changing nature of the coal market.

It is also important to recognize that coal quality specifications vary across different regions, and transportation costs play a significant role in the overall fuel procurement process. Moreover, PacifiCorp's coal plants are situated in diverse geographic locations throughout the Western United States in strategic locations, typically adjacent to or near coal sources to minimize transportation costs. This diversity serves to reduce overall system risk since there may be locations where transportation, labor, or supplies may be limited for a given time, yet other locations may not have those same limitations. Given these factors, PacifiCorp considers term, price, volume, and coal quality when negotiating third-party CSAs and seeks to strike the optimum balance among these factors. Negotiations for bilateral CSAs are specific to the individual plant, mine or mines that can serve the plant, transportation requirements, and overall coal market.

CSAs play a vital role in ensuring reliable, uninterrupted supply of coal that will be available to fuel the Company's plants at known and predictable prices, terms, and conditions. In contrast, relying solely on spot market purchases to supply its plants poses significant risks. Relying exclusively on the spot market is an extremely risky strategy because it would expose customers to substantial and unreasonable price and supply risk, especially in the illiquid markets in which most of PacifiCorp's coal plants are located. On the other hand, multi-year contracts significantly reduce the risk to customers associated with market price volatility or fluctuations. It is also critical to emphasize that without the security of fuel supply contracts, there may be an elevated risk of fuel shortages during certain times of the year.

7.0 Changes in Coal Market Conditions

The coal market has experienced unprecedented price increases and significant fluctuation since 2021 including but not limited to: increased coal demand due to high domestic natural gas prices; nationwide low inventories at coal-fired power plants; increased demand abroad for coal exports; international and domestic supply chain constraints; labor and material shortages; and general market inflation.

Due to the record-high coal prices in export markets, many United States coal mines, including coal mines in Utah, rushed to take advantage of record high coal prices by exporting coal, or by leveraging increased prices in the domestic market. Additionally, the Lila Canyon mine fire that occurred in September 2022 compounded the supply and demand imbalance in the Utah coal market. The Lila Canyon mine accounted for more than 25 percent of Utah's total coal production in recent years. In November 2023, PacifiCorp was informed that the Lila Canyon mine will not be resuming coal production.

Also in calendar year 2022, the Company received force majeure claims from its two major Utah coal suppliers: (1) Bronco Utah Operations, LLC ("Bronco") on June 22, 2022, and (2) Wolverine Fuels, LLC ("Wolverine") on September 22, 2022. These two force majeure claims are attached to this Investigative Report as Confidential Exhibit No. 2. To manage the shortfalls in coal deliveries caused by the force majeure claims, PacifiCorp evaluated the merits of the

claims and considered the legal options available to it under its CSAs. In July 2022, the Company began transporting coal from the Rock Garden safety pile for consumption at the Huntington plant to compensate for reduced coal deliveries. The Company also began working with current suppliers on potential solutions and new potential Utah coal suppliers to secure additional coal and began exploring alternative coal sources.

Therefore, to acquire additional coal, PacifiCorp issued a request for proposals (“2022 RFP”) on August 31, 2022. The 2022 RFP was provided to all of the logical mine suppliers, a total of seven entities. After analyzing the proposals received, PacifiCorp accepted two proposals and negotiated agreements with Gentry Mountain Mining, LLC (“Gentry”) and Wolverine for deliveries during 2023 through 2025. The 2022 RFP results demonstrate both the limited availability of coal in 2022 and the significant price increases in the current coal market for the shorter-term CSAs. The Company also initiated evaluations for (and continues to evaluate) potential acquisition of coal sourced from outside of Utah.

The Hunter and Huntington plants lack rail infrastructure for receiving out-of-state coal by rail. This lack of adequate off-loading rail infrastructure limits PacifiCorp’s ability to procure and receive coal from outside of the state of Utah. Notwithstanding this limitation, the Company invited coal and transportation suppliers both inside and outside of Utah to participate in the 2022 RFP to explore the feasibility of alternative coal supply options.

The Company also explored the possibility of using the Company’s own mines – Bridger mine in Wyoming and Trapper mine in Colorado – to cost-effectively supply the Hunter plant. However, due to coal supply needs at the Jim Bridger and Craig plants, additional coal was not available to ship to Utah. Furthermore, the Company is working with several non-conventional coal sources, including coal previously categorized as refuse, to supplement the fuel supply and continues to look for innovative ways to increase fuel supply at both the Hunter and Huntington plants.

Confidential Table 7.1 below provides the details of the force majeure claims by the Utah coal suppliers:

Confidential Table 7.1 – Force Majeure Claims in 2022

Plant	Supplier	Tons Under Contract	Tons Delivered	Variance	Explanation
Hunter	Wolverine		1,831,679		
	Bronco		727,689		
	Other		14,343	14,343	
			2,573,711		
Huntington	Wolverine		1,966,980		
Total			4,540,691		

The coal supply constraints discussed above resulted in lower than forecasted coal deliveries at both the Huntington and Hunter plants in 2022. PacifiCorp’s stockpiled inventories in Utah were significantly depleted. The Company anticipates there will be a continuation of coal supply shortages and market instability in the foreseeable future. Moreover, received and consumed coal quantities at the Utah plants will likely remain approximately the same in upcoming years until additional coal can be secured. Confidential Table 7.2 below provides a comparison of 2022 actuals, consumed and contracted coal quantities for both Hunter and Huntington plants:

Confidential Table 7.2 – 2022 Utah Plants Coal Delivered and Consumed

Plant	Contracted Tons	Delivered Tons	Consumed Tons	Inventory Tons Used
Hunter		2,573,711	3,303,195	729,484
Huntington		1,966,980	2,520,067	553,087
Total		4,540,691	5,823,262	1,282,571

As illustrated in Table 7.3 below, PacifiCorp began the year 2022 with 132 days of coal inventory and ended with 65 days of inventory at the Utah plants based upon expected consumption of 7.0 million tons:

Table 7.3 – 2022 Utah Plants Coal Inventory

	Utah Plants Inventory		2022 Tons Consumed	Beginning Inventory as Expected Days Burn	Ending Inventory as Expected Days Burn
	12/31/2021 Tons	12/31/2022 Tons			
Hunter	1,243,842	514,358	3,303,195	114	47
Huntington	473,092	436,165	2,520,067	58	53
			5,823,262		
Rock Garden Safety Pile	817,837	298,796	519,041	100	36
Total Utah	2,534,771	1,249,319		132	65

PacifiCorp began reducing generation at the Hunter plant in September 2022 and at the Huntington plant in November 2022 to maintain stockpile reliability targets. Based upon industry standard practice regarding the dispatch of fuel-limited resources, such as hydro plants, PacifiCorp calculated the dispatch price for the fuel-limited Hunter and Huntington units to maintain prudent and reliable coal stockpile inventories and secure plant availability for the benefit of customers during critical periods when the plants were most needed. This calculation rendered the Hunter and Huntington plants less economically favorable to dispatch within the operational optimization model. However, these actions were necessary and the Hunter and Huntington plants were dispatched appropriately in comparison to other generating resources.

8.0 Coal Supply Agreements

PacifiCorp purchased coal for its nine coal-fueled plants under 14 different CSAs during calendar year 2022. The Company entered into one new CSA, and one amendment of a previously executed CSA, for 2022. Prior to entering into a CSA, the Company conducts a detailed internal economic analysis to determine whether the CSA is a reasonable and prudent business decision and in the best interest of its customers. Generally, these economic analyses include background on each plant, key contracting provisions, discussion of modeling inputs and assumptions, and analyses of various scenarios ran under current and forecasted conditions. These analyses are consistent with the Company’s integrated resource planning (“IRP”) processes and rely on software to estimate the expected cost or benefit of each new CSA compared to relevant alternatives. The 14 CSAs are listed in Table 8.1 below:

Table 8.1 – Existing, Amended, and New CSAs in 2022

Plant	Supplier/Mine	Contract Type	Executed	Term
Naughton	Kemmerer Operations/Kemmerer	Existing CSA	12/29/21	Jan 2022 - Dec 2025
Wyodak	Wyodak Resources / Wyodak	Existing CSA	01/01/01	Jan 2001 - Dec 2022
Dave Johnston	Arch / Coal Creek	Existing CSA	08/20/19	Jan 2020 - Dec 2022
Dave Johnston	Peabody / Caballo	Existing CSA	09/17/19	Jan 2020 - Dec 2022
Dave Johnston	Peabody / NARM	Existing CSA	11/12/20	Jan 2021 - Dec 2024
Dave Johnston	Peabody / Caballo	Existing CSA	12/08/20	Jan 2021 - Dec 2024
Hunter	Bronco / Emery	2nd Amendment	08/03/22	Aug 2022 - Dec 2022
Hunter	Wolverine Fuels	Existing CSA	12/11/20	Jan 2021 - Dec 2023
Huntington	Wolverine / Sufco & Skyline	Existing CSA	12/12/14	Jun 2015 - Dec 2029
Jim Bridger	Lighthouse Resources / Black Butte	Existing CSA	02/28/18	Jan 2018 - Jun 2022
Jim Bridger	Lighthouse Resources / Black Butte	New	06/17/22	Jun 2022 - Dec 2023
Colstrip	Westmoreland/Rosebud	Existing CSA	12/05/19	Dec 2019 - Dec 2024
Craig	Trapper Mining/Trapper	Existing CSA	01/01/21	Jan 2021 - Dec 2025
Hayden	Peabody/Twenty mile	Existing CSA	12/12/11	Jan 2012 - Dec 2027

The Company is focused on achieving its target coal supply at a reasonable price, along with contract terms that provide flexibility. PacifiCorp continuously re-evaluates the practice of maintaining flexibility in its fuel's supply options and generation planning strategies, with each new CSA to determine whether a longer or shorter term would benefit its customers and maintain generation. Each CSA typically has a minimum-take or similar contracting provision which is a fundamental component of most CSAs and constitutes the consideration required to obtain a supplier's commitment to provide coal.

8.1 Utah Plants

8.1.1 Hunter Plant

The Hunter plant is located near Castle Dale, Utah, in Emery County. The plant is supplied with coal from Wolverine, Bronco and Gentry. The coal is delivered to the plant by trucks. It has operated three coal units since opening in 1978. The combined rated capacity for the three units is 1,363 MW. PacifiCorp owns 93.75 percent of Hunter Unit 1, 60.31 percent of Hunter Unit 2, and 100 percent of Hunter Unit 3, for a combined 84.97 percent or 1,158 MW. Deseret Generation & Transmission, Utah Association of Municipal Power Systems and Utah Municipal Power Agency are the Hunter plants' co-owners. Historically, PacifiCorp has purchased 100 percent of Hunter's coal requirements from local mines. The co-owners then purchase their coal requirements from PacifiCorp based on their actual coal consumption. PacifiCorp's 2023 IRP calls for Hunter Unit 1 to cease burning coal on December 31, 2031, and for Hunter Unit 2 and Hunter Unit 3 to cease burning coal on December 31, 2032.

The total amount of coal under contract for the Hunter plant in 2022 was **[Begin Confidential]** **[End Confidential]** tons. However, PacifiCorp did not receive the full amount of coal supply under its existing CSAs for the Hunter plant due to the force majeure claims,

transportation issues, mine geologic difficulties and other challenges in the Utah coal market. The contracted volume was also more than the actual coal consumed at Hunter in 2022, which included a significant portion of the available stockpiled inventory.

8.1.2 Huntington Plant

The Huntington power plant is located near Huntington, in Emery County, Utah. As part of the closure of the Deer Creek Mine in 2014, which was the primary source of coal for the Huntington power plant, the Company executed a 15-year agreement with Wolverine to supply the Company's coal requirements for Huntington plant through December 2029. The expected annual quantity has a minimum purchase obligation of [Begin Confidential] [REDACTED] [End Confidential] tons of coal per year and a maximum supply obligation of [Begin Confidential] [REDACTED] [End Confidential] tons per year. The CSA has fixed pricing for the entire term of the contract and the CSA includes a minimum take provision.

Similar to the Hunter plant, PacifiCorp did not receive the full amount of coal supply under the existing CSA for the Huntington plant due to multiple factors such as: a force majeure claim, transportation issues, mine geologic difficulties and other challenges in the Utah coal market. Coal stockpiled at the Rock Garden safety pile was used to supplement the consumption at the Huntington plant.

8.2 Wyoming Plants

8.2.1 Jim Bridger Plant

The Jim Bridger plant is located approximately 24 miles east of Rock Springs, Wyoming. The Jim Bridger plant is the largest power plant on the PacifiCorp system (2,120 MW) and is jointly owned by PacifiCorp (66.7 percent) and Idaho Power Company ("IPC") (33.3 percent). The Jim Bridger plant consists of four almost identical units, each with a nominal 530 net MW capacity. Over the four-year period of 2019-2022, the Jim Bridger plant consumed 24 million tons of coal, an average of six million tons per year. The plant is designed to consume coal sourced from southwest Wyoming. PacifiCorp's 2023 IRP calls for Jim Bridger Unit 1 and Jim Bridger Unit 2 to cease burning coal on December 31, 2023, and convert to natural gas consumption. Jim Bridger Unit 3 and Jim Bridger Unit 4 are planned to cease burning coal on December 31, 2029, and convert to gas as well. The remaining useful life for all four Bridger units is forecasted to be December 31, 2037.

Ownership in the Bridger Coal Company allows PacifiCorp to flex coal deliveries up or down, within certain constraints, to better align Jim Bridger plant delivered and consumed coal quantities. Mine ownership also reduces coal supply delivery risk, mitigates unfavorable impacts of unexpected coal delivery changes, and has historically improved contract price terms with the third-party coal supplier.

PacifiCorp did not reduce generation at the Jim Bridger plant during calendar year 2022 due to a lack of coal supply. PacifiCorp's minimum stockpile reliability target for 2022 was deemed to be

530,000 tons or 45 days of expected consumption of 4.3 million tons. As illustrated in Table 8.2 below, PacifiCorp’s inventory stockpile at Jim Bridger exceeded that target throughout 2022:

Table 8.2: 2022 Jim Bridger Coal Inventory

Jim Bridger Plant Inventory					2022 Tons Consumed	Beginning Inventory as Expected Days Burn	Ending Inventory as Expected Days Burn
<u>12/31/2021</u>		<u>12/31/2022</u>					
	<u>Tons</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Tons</u>	<u>%</u>			
PacifiCorp	1,008,008	78%	718,623	90%	4,215,793	86	61
Idaho Power	276,559	22%	79,160	10%	1,885,327	54	15
Total Plant	1,284,567	100%	797,783	100%	6,101,120	76	47
<i>Note: PacifiCorp's Days Burn is calculated using Expected 2022 Consumption of 4.3 million tons. Idaho Power's Days Burn is calculated using actual 2022 consumption.</i>							

Being the 67 percent owner of the Jim Bridger plant, PacifiCorp is responsible for supplying its ownership portion of the coal directly to the plant. PacifiCorp prudently managed its coal inventory in 2022 by beginning the year with just over one million tons of coal which equated to 78 percent of the coal at the plant. PacifiCorp ended the calendar year 2022 with a supply of approximately 719,000 tons which equated to 90 percent of the coal inventory. The Company entered 2022 with enough coal to be able to draw from its coal stockpile without placing inventory at a level that could have jeopardized reliability for its customers.

PacifiCorp’s coal inventory exceeded its minimum stockpile reliability target of 45 days of inventory throughout 2022. There was no need for PacifiCorp to reduce generation at the Jim Bridger plant in 2022 to conserve coal. Thus, PacifiCorp did not reduce generation in 2022 to conserve coal inventory at the Jim Bridger plant. As shown in Confidential Table 8.3 below, the coal supply shortfall experienced at Jim Bridger did not reach a level critical enough for PacifiCorp to take measures to reduce generation in 2022:

Confidential Table 8.3: 2022 Jim Bridger Coal Supply (PacifiCorp Share)

Plant	Supplier	Budgeted Tons	Delivered Tons	Variance	Explanation
Bridger	Bridger Coal Company	2,653,333	2,648,039	(5,294)	
	Black Butte Coal Company		1,278,948		
			3,926,987		

It is important to recognize the distinction between the situations faced by PacifiCorp and IPC in 2022 concerning coal supply issues and the resulting generation curtailment at the Jim Bridger plant. Through proactively managing its coal supply, PacifiCorp successfully avoided the need to reduce generation to ensure an adequate coal stockpile availability to meet reliability standards. Specifically, PacifiCorp took the following actions to ensure an adequate coal supply at Jim Bridger for the relevant time-period:

- In August 2022, PacifiCorp directed the plant to begin using coal permitted for long-term storage. A total of 407,395 tons (shared between PacifiCorp and IPC) were consumed from the long-term storage pile in 2022.
- In September 2022, PacifiCorp issued an RFP to Powder River Basin (“PRB”) coal suppliers for future deliveries to the plant, specifically targeting deliveries for the fourth quarter of 2022 and 2023.
- In September 2022, PacifiCorp initiated discussions with Union Pacific railroad regarding the delivery of PRB coal to the plant. These discussions aimed to ensure reliable transportation and delivery of the required coal to Jim Bridger plant.
- PacifiCorp also embarked on a search to lease 120 coal railcars, further demonstrating its commitment to securing adequate transportation resources for coal deliveries.

These proactive actions ultimately led to the successful delivery of PRB coal to the Jim Bridger plant, commencing in April 2023. By taking these steps, PacifiCorp effectively managed its coal supply and ensured the availability of coal for the Jim Bridger plant, ensuring benefit to its customers. These measures highlight PacifiCorp's continuous proactive approach to addressing the unprecedented coal supply challenges that occurred in 2022 while maintaining reliable generation.

8.2.2 Naughton Plant

The Naughton plant is located in Kemmerer, Wyoming, and is wholly owned by PacifiCorp. Naughton is supplied by the adjacent Kemmerer mine with Naughton Unit 1 and Naughton Unit 2, rated at 156 and 201 MW, operated on coal and Naughton Unit 3 operates on natural gas. PacifiCorp's 2023 IRP identifies that Naughton Unit 1 and Naughton Unit 2 will cease burning coal on December 31, 2025, and convert to gas in 2026. PacifiCorp's prior agreement for Naughton's coal supply ended on December 31, 2021. PacifiCorp executed a new CSA with the Kemmerer Mine for the purchase of Naughton's coal supply from January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2025.

8.2.3 Dave Johnston Plant

The Dave Johnston plant is located in Glenrock, Wyoming. PacifiCorp owns 100 percent of the plant and operates all four units. The output capacity at the plant is as follows: Dave Johnston Unit 1 – 99 MW; Dave Johnston Unit 2 – 106 MW; Dave Johnston Unit 3 – 220 MW; and Dave Johnston Unit 4 – 330 MW. The plant receives coal from mines in the PRB which is the largest coal production region in the U.S. Due to the abundance of coal in the PRB, along with the number of operating mines in this region, PacifiCorp is able to take advantage of favorable coal market pricing that exists in the liquid PRB market. The coal is delivered by Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway. During 2022 there were four CSAs; one with Arch Coal's Coal Creek Mine and three with Peabody Energy for deliveries from the Caballo mine and North Antelope Rochelle mine.

8.2.4 Wyodak Plant

The Wyodak plant is located in Campbell County, Wyoming, and is jointly owned with Black Hills Energy ("Black Hills"), which has a 20 percent ownership interest in the plant. There is one coal unit at the Wyodak plant with an output capacity of 335 MW. The Wyodak plant is a mine-mouth operation and receives its coal from the adjacent Wyodak Mine by conveyor. This eliminates the need to store coal inventory at the plant. Wyodak Resources Development Corp. (a subsidiary of Black Hills) owns and operates the mine. PacifiCorp's agreement for the Wyodak plant's coal supply was from January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2022. A new CSA for Wyodak was signed in 2022 for coal supply beginning in 2023.

8.3 Joint-Owned Plants – Partner Operated

8.3.1 Colstrip Plant

The Colstrip plant is a 1,480 MW two-unit coal plant located in Colstrip, Montana. Colstrip Unit 3 and Colstrip Unit 4 are jointly owned by Avista Corporation, NorthWestern Energy, PacifiCorp, Portland General Electric Company, Talen Energy, and Puget Sound Energy.

Colstrip Unit 1 and Colstrip Unit 2 were retired in 2020 and were owned by Talen Energy and PSE. The plant is a mine-mouth operation and receives its coal from the adjacent Rosebud Mine by conveyor. Westmoreland Rosebud Mining, LLC owns and operates the mine. PacifiCorp's agreement for the Colstrip plant coal supply is from January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2024, with an option for PacifiCorp to extend it through December 31, 2025.

8.3.2 Craig Plant

The Craig plant is a 1,427 MW, three-unit coal plant located in Moffat County, Colorado. Craig Unit 1 and Craig Unit 2 are jointly owned by Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association ("Tri-State"), Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District ("SRP"), Platte River Power Authority ("Platte"), PacifiCorp and Public Service Company of Colorado ("PSCo"). Craig Unit 3 is owned exclusively by Tri-State. Craig Unit 1 and Craig Unit 2 are supplied by the Trapper mine, which is an affiliate captive mine owned by three entities with the ownership percentages as follows: SRP – 43.72 percent, PacifiCorp – 29.14 percent, and Platte – 27.14 percent. The recent CSA between Trapper mine and PacifiCorp, SRP and Platte was for a term of 10 years, from January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2020, which was later extended for another five years through December 31, 2025.

8.3.3 Hayden Plant

The Hayden plant is a 441 MW, two-unit coal plant located in Routt County, Colorado. Hayden Unit 1 is jointly owned by PSCo and PacifiCorp. The Company owns 24.5 percent of Hayden Unit 1. Hayden Unit 2 is jointly owned by PSCo, SRP, and PacifiCorp. The Company owns 12.6 percent of Hayden Unit 2. PSCo operates the plant. PacifiCorp negotiated the Hayden CSA in collaboration with PSCo and SRP in order to secure future fuel requirements for Hayden from the nearby Twentymile mine owned and operated by Peabody Energy. The Hayden CSA was executed on December 12, 2011, and runs through December 31, 2027. Hayden Unit 2 is scheduled for closure in 2027 and Hayden Unit 1 is scheduled for closure in 2028.

9.0 Conclusion

In compliance with Order No. 35801, the Company respectfully submits this Investigative Report focused on the issues related to lower coal generation and coal supplies, the deployment of its coal fleet, and the Company's management of these issues during 2022.

As shown in this Investigative Report in detail, the actual coal generation in the 2022 ECAM was reasonable and in best interest of its customers, and the Company operated prudently based on market conditions that were influenced by multiple factors including but not limited to, the war in the Ukraine and extreme weather events. The Company was also challenged by force majeure events outside of its control, but the Company was properly prepared for these events with sufficient stockpile supplies at both the Hunter and Huntington plants as well as the Rock

Garden safety pile. Faced with force majeure events, the Company took proactive measures to deploy its coal fleet prudently by working to secure additional coal while prudently managing its coal supply to ensure its coal fleet reliability was maintained. Despite facing numerous challenges in 2022 as detailed in this Investigative Report, the difference between actual and the forecast coal generation was only five percent.

The Company respectfully request that the Commission issue an order finding that the Company complied with the requirements in Order No. 35801 and costs within the 2022 ECAM deferral were prudently incurred.

Confidential Exhibit No. 1
2022 Thermal Outage Summary

**THIS EXHIBIT IS CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS
ENTIRETY AND IS PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE
COVER**

Confidential Exhibit No. 2
Force Majeure Claims

**THIS EXHIBIT IS CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS
ENTIRETY AND IS PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE
COVER**